

recreational options. The impacts of such a decision would have to be analyzed in a separate document.

T. Cultural Resources. Will the proposal result in:

			Potentially Significant Impact		Insufficient Data
	No Impact	Less Than Significant Impact	Mitigation Identified	No Mitigation Identified-- EIR	
1. Will the proposal result in the alteration of or the destruction of a prehistoric or historic archeological site?	X				
2. Will the proposal result in adverse physical or aesthetic effects to a prehistoric building, structure, or object?			X		
3. Does the proposal have the potential to cause a physical change which would affect unique ethnic cultural values?	X				
4. Will the proposal restrict existing religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area?	X				

Explanation:

- 1.) Renewal or denial of the lease would have no impact on known prehistoric or historic sites, as none exist at the facilities.
- 2.) Adverse impacts to prehistoric sites could only come from accidental oil spills, so renewal of the lease would continue the risk at present levels, while denial of the lease would reduce the potential for impact by some unknown amount.
- 3.) The project site has never been judged to have unique ethnic values, so the renewal or denial of the lease would have no impact.
- 4.) The project site has never been used as a sacred site, so the renewal or denial of the lease would have no impact.

Discussion: